

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the present application as amended is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-19 are pending. No claim has been canceled. Claims 1, 8, 15, and 19 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 8, 15, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The claims have been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 1-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,765,140). The Applicant respectfully traverses. The cited reference fails to teach all of the elements of the Applicant's claimed invention. In particular, Knudson fails to teach the element of independent claim 1 of "*the task window being read access only to a user who is not a person who is in charge of the project.*" In contrast, Knudson teaches a time tracking system for use by both managers and personnel to follow and to create timesheets. Therefore, people who are not in charge of the project can input data and are not read access only. As such, the Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 as amended is not obvious in view of Knudson for at least the reasons discussed above. Similarly, independent claims 8, 15, and 19 include the same limitation as claim 1 and are therefore also not obvious in

view of Knudson. The remaining claims all depend upon one of the independent claims 1, 8, 15, and 19 and are therefore also not obvious in view of Knudson.